Docket No.

197261US-8

IN RE APPLICATION OF: SHUSUKE KAYA ET AL

SERIAL NO: 09/662,704

FILED:

**SEPTEMBER 15, 2000** 

FOR:

SEMICONDUCTOR LASER DEVICE

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

Transmitted herewith is a REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION in the above-identified application.

- No additional fee is required
- □ Small entity status of this application under 37 C.F.R. §1.9 and §1.27 is claimed.
- □ Additional documents filed herewith:

The Fee has been calculated as shown below:

CLAIMS	CLAIMS REMAINING		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID	NO. EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	CALCULATIONS
TOTAL	34	MINUS	34	0	× \$18 =	\$0.00
INDEPENDENT	3	MINUS	3	0	× \$84 =	\$0.00
□ MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS + \$280				+ \$280 =	\$0.00	
			TOTAL OF A	BOVE CALCU	JLATIONS	\$0.00
□ Reduction by 50% for filing by Small Entity				\$0.00		
			dation of Assignment		+ \$40 =	\$0.00
					TOTAL	\$0.00

☐ A check in the amount of

is attached.

- Please charge any additional Fees for the papers being filed herewith and for which no check is enclosed herewith, or credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 15-0030. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time may be charged to Deposit Account No. 15-0030. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Bradley D. Lytle

Registration No. 40,073

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 10/00) RECEIVED

OCT 28 2002

CONTROL DELY CENTER 280

197261US-4646-1294-8

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

N RE APPLICATION OF

SHUSUKE KAYA ET AL

: EXAMINER: JACKSON, C. H.

To some

SERIAL NO: 09/662,704

FILED: SEPTEMBER 15, 2000

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2828

FOR: SEMICONDUCTOR LASER DEVICE:

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

In response to the Official Action mailed July 31, 2002, Applicants in the aboveidentified application respectfully reconsideration of the rejections set forth therein.

## **REMARKS**

Favorable reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested. Claims 1-19 are pending.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1-2, 6-10, 14-17 and 19 were rejected as being unpatentable over Hashimoto et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,067,310, hereinafter "Hashimoto") in view of Itoh et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,249,534, hereinafter "Ito"); Claims 3-5 and 11-13 were rejected as being unpatentable over Hashimoto in view of Ito and in further view of Chand et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,440,575, hereinafter "Chand"); and Claim 18 was rejected as being a substantial duplicate of Claim 9.